

Commuting Linear Maps on a Kind of Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie Algebra

XU Yue, YANG Ningjing, GAO Shoulun

(School of Science, Huzhou University, Huzhou 313000, China)

Abstract: Using the properties of commuting linear maps, we calculate the commuting linear maps of the Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two, which is helpful to determine the centroid of the Lie algebra.

Keywords: Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two; commuting linear map; center

CLC number: O152.5

Document code: A

Article ID: 1009 – 1734(2024)02 – 0008 – 06

0 Introduction

The structures and representations of the Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra and its generalizations have been widely studied, such as [1]~[7]. The Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank one is the Lie algebra of differential operators of order at most one^[1], which is generalized to the case of rank two in [8]. Some structure properties of the Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two have been calculated in [8], including central extensions, derivations and the automorphism group. In [9], \mathbb{Z} – graded Harish – Chandra modules of the Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two was classified. Now there are still many questions unknown about this Lie algebra.

Linear maps on Lie algebras are important part of the structure theory of Lie algebras, such as derivation, endomorphism and automorphism and so on. Commuting linear maps on Lie algebra is a special kind of linear maps, and it is an indispensable part of the structure theory of Lie algebras. In this paper, we mainly study the commuting linear maps on the Heisenberg – Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two.

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}^* , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Q}^* and the \mathbb{C} set of integers, non – zero integers, rational numbers, non – zero rational numbers and complex numbers, respectively. All the vector spaces are assumed over the complex field.

1 Preliminary

Let $G = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \neq (0, 0)\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^* = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, -1\}$. Set

$$\eta = (1, 0), \varepsilon = (0, 1).$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in G$, denote by

$$\det(\beta, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix} = \beta_1 \alpha_2 - \beta_2 \alpha_1.$$

Received: 2023 – 12 – 25

Foundation item: National Natural Science Foundation of China(11971315).

Corresponding author: Gao Shoulun, Ph. D. Research Interests: Lie algebra. E – mail: gaoshoulun@zjhu.edu.cn

Obviously, $\det(\beta, \alpha) = 0$ if and only if the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is linear dependent, and $\det(\beta, \alpha) \neq 0$ if and only if the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is linear independent.

For any $\alpha \in G$, set

$$\mathcal{V}(\alpha) = \{q\alpha \in G \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}^*\}.$$

Then, for $\alpha, \beta \in G$, we have $\det(\beta, \alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\beta \in \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$, and $\det(\beta, \alpha) \neq 0$ if and only if $\beta \notin \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$.

Definition 1^[8-9] The Heisenberg - Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two, denoted by \mathfrak{g} , has a basis

$$\{t^\alpha, E_\alpha, K_i \mid \alpha \in G, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

with the following brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [t^\alpha, t^\beta] &= 0, \quad [K_i, \mathfrak{g}] = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ [t^\alpha, E_\beta] &= \det(\beta, \alpha)t^{\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+\beta, 0}(\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2), \\ [E_\alpha, E_\beta] &= \det(\beta, \alpha)E_{\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+\beta, 0}(\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$.

Obviously, the center of the Heisenberg - Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}K_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}K_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}K_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}K_4$. According to Definition 1, when the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is linear dependent and $\beta \neq -\alpha$, we have

$$[t^\alpha, t^\beta] = [t^\alpha, E_\beta] = [E_\alpha, E_\beta] = 0.$$

2 Commuting linear maps on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

In this section, we determine commuting linear maps on the Heisenberg - Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 2^[10] Let L be a Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{F} . A linear map $f: L \rightarrow L$ is called a commuting linear map of the Lie algebra L if

$$[x, f(x)] = 0$$

for all $x \in L$.

For any commuting linear map f of a Lie algebra L , it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(K_i) &\in C(\mathfrak{g}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ [f(x), y] &= [x, f(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in L. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 For any commuting linear map f on the Heisenberg - Virasoro Lie algebra of rank two \mathfrak{g} , there exist some $a, b, x_i^\alpha, y_i^\alpha, z_i(j) \in \mathbb{C}(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ such that

$$f(t^\alpha) = at^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i, \quad f(E_\alpha) = bt^\alpha + aE_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\alpha K_i, \quad f(K_i) = \sum_{j=1}^4 z_i(j) K_j$$

for all $\alpha \in G$.

Proof Let f be any commuting linear map on \mathfrak{g} . For any $\alpha \in G$, assume

$$\begin{aligned} f(t^\alpha) &= \sum \lambda_{\gamma_\alpha} t^{\gamma_\alpha} + \sum \mu_{\theta_\alpha} E_{\theta_\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i, \\ f(E_\alpha) &= \sum \rho_{\xi_\alpha} t^{\xi_\alpha} + \sum \sigma_{\zeta_\alpha} E_{\zeta_\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\alpha K_i, \\ f(K_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^4 z_i(j) K_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_\alpha, \theta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha, \zeta_\alpha \in G$ and $\lambda_{\gamma_\alpha}, \mu_{\theta_\alpha}, \rho_{\xi_\alpha}, \sigma_{\zeta_\alpha}, x_i^\alpha, y_i^\alpha, z_i(j) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since $[t^\alpha, f(t^\alpha)] = 0$, we have

$$0 = \sum \lambda_{\gamma_\alpha} [t^\alpha, t^{\gamma_\alpha}] + \sum \mu_{\theta_\alpha} [t^\alpha, E_{\theta_\alpha}] =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \mu_{\theta_a} [\det(\theta_a, \alpha) t^{\alpha+\theta_a} + \delta_{\alpha+\theta_a, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)] = \\ & \sum \mu_{\theta_a} \det(\theta_a, \alpha) t^{\alpha+\theta_a} + \mu_{-\alpha} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2), \end{aligned}$$

which forces

$$\det(\theta_a, \alpha) \mu_{\theta_a} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha_1 \mu_{-\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha_2 \mu_{-\alpha} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Since $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq (0, 0)$, by (2), we have

$$\mu_{-\alpha} = 0.$$

Using (1), it is easy to see $\mu_{\theta_a} = 0$ if $\det(\theta_a, \alpha) \neq 0$, that is, $\mu_{\theta_a} = 0$ if $\theta_a \notin \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$.

Hence, we can write

$$f(t^\alpha) = \sum \lambda_{\gamma_a} t^{\gamma_a} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} E_{q\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i. \quad (3)$$

Since $[E_\alpha, f(E_\alpha)] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum \rho_{\xi_a} [E_\alpha, t^{\xi_a}] + \sum \sigma_{\zeta_a} [E_\alpha, E_{\zeta_a}] = \\ & - \sum \rho_{\xi_a} [\det(\alpha, \xi_a) t^{\alpha+\xi_a} - \delta_{\alpha+\xi_a, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)] + \\ & \sum \sigma_{\zeta_a} [\det(\zeta_a, \alpha) E_{\alpha+\zeta_a} + \delta_{\alpha+\zeta_a, 0} (\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4)] = \\ & - \sum \rho_{\xi_a} \det(\alpha, \xi_a) t^{\alpha+\xi_a} + \rho_{-\alpha} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2) + \\ & \sum \sigma_{\zeta_a} \det(\zeta_a, \alpha) E_{\alpha+\zeta_a} + \sigma_{-\alpha} (\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\det(\alpha, \xi_a) \rho_{\xi_a} = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_1 \rho_{-\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha_2 \rho_{-\alpha} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\det(\zeta_a, \alpha) \sigma_{\zeta_a} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_1 \sigma_{-\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha_2 \sigma_{-\alpha} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Since $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq (0, 0)$, by (5) and (7), we have

$$\rho_{-\alpha} = 0, \quad \sigma_{-\alpha} = 0.$$

By (4), we have $\rho_{\xi_a} = 0$ if $\xi_a \notin \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$. By (6), we have $\sigma_{\zeta_a} = 0$ if $\zeta_a \notin \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$. Then we can write

$$f(E_\alpha) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\alpha} t^{s\alpha} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\alpha} E_{k\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\alpha K_i. \quad (8)$$

Using $[f(t^\alpha), E_\alpha] = [t^\alpha, f(E_\alpha)]$, by (3) and (8), since

$$\begin{aligned} [f(t^\alpha), E_\alpha] &= \sum \lambda_{\gamma_a} [\det(\alpha, \gamma_a) t^{\alpha+\gamma_a} - \delta_{\alpha+\gamma_a, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)] + \\ & \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} [\det(\alpha, q\alpha) E_{\alpha+q\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha+q\alpha, 0} (q\alpha_1 K_3 + q\alpha_2 K_4)] = \\ & \sum \lambda_{\gamma_a} \det(\alpha, \gamma_a) t^{\alpha+\gamma_a} - \lambda_{-\alpha} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2), \\ [t^\alpha, f(E_\alpha)] &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\alpha} [\det(k\alpha, \alpha) t^{\alpha+k\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha+k\alpha, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum \lambda_{\gamma_a} \det(\alpha, \gamma_a) t^{\alpha+\gamma_a} - \lambda_{-\alpha} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2) = 0.$$

Obviously, $\lambda_{-\alpha} = 0$, and $\lambda_{\gamma_a} = 0$ if $\gamma_a \notin \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$. Then (3) becomes

$$f(t^\alpha) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} t^{p\alpha} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} E_{q\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i. \quad (9)$$

Using $[f(t^\alpha), t^\beta] = [t^\alpha, f(t^\beta)]$, by (9), since

$$\begin{aligned} [f(t^\alpha), t^\beta] &= - \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} [\det(q\alpha, \beta) t^{\beta+q\alpha} + \delta_{\beta+q\alpha, 0} (\beta_1 K_1 + \beta_2 K_2)], \\ [t^\alpha, f(t^\beta)] &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\beta} [\det(q\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+q\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+q\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)], \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} [\det(q\alpha, \beta) t^{\beta+q\alpha} + \delta_{\beta+q\alpha, 0} (\beta_1 K_1 + \beta_2 K_2)] &= \\ \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\beta} [\det(q\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+q\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+q\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)]. & \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Take $\beta \notin \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$ in (10), then the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is linear independent. So $\det(q\alpha, \beta) \neq 0, \beta + q\alpha \neq 0, \det(q\beta, \alpha) \neq 0$ and $\alpha + q\beta \neq 0$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, which forces (10) becomes

$$- \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\alpha} \det(q\alpha, \beta) t^{\beta+q\alpha} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \mu_{q\beta} \det(q\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+q\beta}. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\mu_{q\alpha} \det(q\alpha, \beta) t^{\beta+q\alpha} = 0, \quad q \neq 0, \pm 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mu_{q\alpha} = 0, \quad q \neq 0, \pm 1.$$

Hence (9) becomes

$$f(t^\alpha) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} t^{p\alpha} + \mu_\alpha E_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i. \quad (12)$$

It follows from (11) that

$$\det(\alpha, \beta) (\mu_\beta - \mu_\alpha) t^{\beta+\alpha} = 0.$$

If the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is linear independent, then $\det(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ and

$$\mu_\beta = \mu_\alpha.$$

Then $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\epsilon$ for $\alpha \neq l\epsilon$, and $\mu_{l\epsilon} = \mu_\eta = \mu_\epsilon$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. So

$$\mu_\alpha = \mu_\epsilon, \quad \forall \alpha \in G.$$

Hence (12) becomes

$$f(t^\alpha) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} t^{p\alpha} + \mu_\epsilon E_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i. \quad (13)$$

Using $[f(t^\alpha), E_\beta] = [t^\alpha, f(E_\beta)]$, by (8) and (13), since

$$\begin{aligned} [f(t^\alpha), E_\beta] &= [\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} t^{p\alpha} + \mu_\epsilon E_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i, E_\beta] = \\ & \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} [\det(\beta, p\alpha) t^{p\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{p\alpha+\beta, 0} (p\alpha_1 K_1 + p\alpha_2 K_2)] + \\ & \mu_\epsilon [\det(\beta, \alpha) E_{\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4)], \\ [t^\alpha, f(E_\beta)] &= [t^\alpha, \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\beta} t^{s\beta} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\beta} E_{k\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\beta K_i] = \\ & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\beta} [\det(k\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+k\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+k\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)], \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} [\det(\beta, p\alpha) t^{p\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{p\alpha+\beta, 0} (p\alpha_1 K_1 + p\alpha_2 K_2)] + \\ \mu_\epsilon [\det(\beta, \alpha) E_{\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4)] = \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\beta} [\det(k\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+k\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+k\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)]. \quad (14)$$

Taking $\beta = -\alpha$ in (14), we get $\mu_\varepsilon (\alpha_1 K_3 + \alpha_2 K_4) = 0$. Hence

$$\mu_\varepsilon = 0 \quad (15)$$

and (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} [\det(\beta, p\alpha) t^{p\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{p\alpha+\beta, 0} (p\alpha_1 K_1 + p\alpha_2 K_2)] = \\ & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\beta} [\det(k\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+k\beta} + \delta_{\alpha+k\beta, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Take $\beta \notin \mathcal{Z}(\alpha)$ in (16), then

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \lambda_{p\alpha} \det(\beta, p\alpha) t^{p\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \sigma_{k\beta} \det(k\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+k\beta},$$

which forces that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{p\alpha} \det(\beta, p\alpha) &= 0, \sigma_{p\beta} \det(p\beta, \alpha) = 0, \quad p \neq 0, \pm 1; \\ \lambda_\alpha \det(\beta, \alpha) &= \sigma_\beta \det(\beta, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{p\alpha} = 0, \sigma_{p\beta} = 0, & p \neq 0, \pm 1; \\ \lambda_\alpha = \sigma_\beta. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Using (17), we get $\lambda_\alpha = \sigma_\varepsilon$ for $\alpha \neq l\varepsilon$, and $\lambda_{l\varepsilon} = \sigma_\eta = \sigma_\varepsilon$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. So

$$\lambda_\alpha = \sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \alpha \in G.$$

Similarly, $\sigma_\beta = \lambda_\varepsilon = \sigma_\varepsilon$ for $\beta \neq l\varepsilon$, and $\sigma_{l\varepsilon} = \lambda_\eta = \sigma_\varepsilon$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. So

$$\sigma_\beta = \sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \beta \in G.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \forall \alpha \in G.$$

Set $\sigma_\varepsilon = a$. Then (13) and (8) become

$$f(t^\alpha) = at^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^\alpha K_i, \quad (18)$$

$$f(E_\alpha) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\alpha} t^{s\alpha} + aE_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\alpha K_i \quad (19)$$

via (15) and (17).

By (19), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [f(E_\alpha), E_\beta] &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} [\rho_{s\alpha} \det(\beta, s\alpha) t^{s\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{s\alpha+\beta, 0} (s\alpha_1 K_1 + s\alpha_2 K_2)] + a[E_\alpha, E_\beta], \\ [E_\alpha, f(E_\beta)] &= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\beta} [\det(s\beta, \alpha) t^{s\beta+\alpha} + \delta_{s\beta+\alpha, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)] + a[E_\alpha, E_\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[f(E_\alpha), E_\beta] = [E_\alpha, f(E_\beta)]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} [\rho_{s\alpha} \det(\beta, s\alpha) t^{s\alpha+\beta} + \delta_{s\alpha+\beta, 0} (s\alpha_1 K_1 + s\alpha_2 K_2)] = \\ & \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\beta} [\det(s\beta, \alpha) t^{s\beta+\alpha} + \delta_{s\beta+\alpha, 0} (\alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Take $\beta \notin \mathcal{Z}(\alpha)$ in (20), then

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\alpha} \det(\beta, s\alpha) t^{s\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Q}^*} \rho_{s\beta} \det(s\beta, \alpha) t^{\alpha+s\beta},$$

which forces that

$$\rho_{s\alpha} \det(\beta, s\alpha) = 0, \quad s \neq 0, \pm 1;$$

$$\rho_\alpha \det(\beta, \alpha) = \rho_\beta \det(\beta, \alpha), \quad s = 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{s\alpha} = 0, & s \neq 0, \pm 1; \\ \rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Then $\rho_\alpha = \rho_\epsilon$ for $\alpha \neq l\epsilon$, and $\rho_{l\epsilon} = \rho_\eta = \rho_\epsilon$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. So

$$\rho_\alpha = \rho_\epsilon, \quad \forall \alpha \in G. \quad (22)$$

Set $b = \rho_\epsilon$. According to (21) and (22),

$$f(E_\alpha) = bt^\alpha + aE_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^\alpha K_i, \quad \forall \alpha \in G. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the theorem holds via (18) and (23).

References:

- [1] ARBARELLO E, CONCINI C D, KAC V G, et al. Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory[J]. Comm Math Phys, 1988, 117: 1 - 36.
- [2] SHEN R, JIANG C B. The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted Heisenberg - Virasoro algebra [J]. Communications in Algebra, 2006, 34(7): 2547 - 2558.
- [3] SHEN R, JIANG Q F, SU Y C. Verma modules over the generalized Heisenberg - Virasoro algebra [J]. Communications in Algebra, 2008, 36(4): 1464 - 1473.
- [4] LIU D, ZHU L S. Generalized Heisenberg - Virasoro algebras[J]. Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 2009, 4(2): 297 - 310.
- [5] CHEN H, GUO X. New simple modules for the Heisenberg - Virasoro algebra[J]. Journal of Algebra, 2013, 390(4): 77 - 86.
- [6] 梁玥, 楼菁, 高寿兰. Mirror Heisenberg - Virasoro 李代数上的交换线性映射[J]. 湖州师范学院学报, 2021, 43(10): 1 - 6.
- [7] 武亚娣, 岳晓青. 超 Heisenberg - Virasoro 代数的超双导子及其应用[J]. 数学学报(中文版), 2022, 65(4): 691 - 698.
- [8] XUE M, LIN W Q, TAN S B. Central extension, derivations and automorphism group for Lie algebras arising from 2 - dimensional torus[J]. Journal of Lie Theory, 2006, 16(1): 139 - 153.
- [9] CHEN X. 秩为 2 的 Heisenberg - Virasoro 代数的 Harish - Chandra 模的分类[J]. 厦门理工学院学报, 2017, 25(1): 91 - 94.
- [10] BREAR M, ZHAO K M. Biderivations and commuting linear maps on Lie algebras[J]. Journal of Lie Theory, 2018, 28(3): 885 - 900.

一类 Heisenberg - Virasoro 李代数上的交换线性映射

徐 玥, 杨宁静, 高寿兰

(湖州师范学院 理学院, 浙江 湖州 313000)

摘 要: 根据交换线性映射的性质, 计算秩为 2 的 Heisenberg - Virasoro 李代数上的交换线性映射, 以确定此李代数的形心.

关键词: 秩为 2 的 Heisenberg - Virasoro 李代数; 交换线性映射; 中心

[责任编辑 高俊娥]